Local Planning Regulations

Email responses to: lpregsconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk

Consultation questions

We are seeking your views on the following questions on the Government's proposal for new local planning regulations 1 If possible we would be grateful if you could respond

iocal planning regulations. If possible, we would be grateful if you could respond
by email. Alternatively, we would be happy to receive responses by post.

Written responses to:

Martin Bridgman Communities and Local Government Zone 1/J1 **Eland House** Bressenden Place London SW1E 5DU

(a) About you

(i) Your details

Name:	Helen Gregory
Position:	Principal Policy Advisor
Name of organisation (if applicable):	Brighton & Hove City Council
Address:	Planning Strategy & Projects Hove Town Hall Norton Road Hove BN3 1NH
Email Address:	helen.gregory@brighton-hove.gov.uk
Telephone number:	01273 208497

(ii)	Are the	views	expressed	on this	consultation	an	official	response	from	the
org	janisatio	on you	represent	or your	own persona	al vie	ews?			

Organisational response	V
Personal views	

(iii) Are your views expressed on this coupport of any group? If yes please state	onsultation in connection with your membership or e name of group.
Yes	
No	
Name of group:	
(iv) Please tick the <i>on</i> e box which	best describes you or your organisation:
Private developer or house builder	
Housing association or RSL	
Land owner	
Voluntary sector or charitable organisat	ion
Business, consultant, professional advis	or
National representative body	
Professional body	
Parish council	
Local government (i.e. district, borough	, county, unitary, etc.)
Other public body (please state)	
Other (please state)	
(v) Would you be happy for us to consultation?	contact you again in relation to this
Yes	V
No	
(b) Consultation questions	5
1(a) - Do you agree that the revised proposed in the Localism Bill?	regulations effectively reflect the changes

Strongly agree				
Agree	☑			
Neither agree or Disagree				
Disagree				
Strongly Disagree				
) If you have any comments pleas	e enter these below			
asks for comments on how the revise the <i>proposed</i> planning reform progra regulations may be subject to change	ed regulations have responded to amme. However these revised if the Localism Bill and Na-			
u agree with the list of bodies incl	uded in the duty to cooperate?			
Agree	V			
Neither agree or Disagree				
Disagree				
2(b) If you have any comments please enter these below Following publication, the Local Planning Regulations may need to be updated to reflect the Government's intention to replace Primary Care Trusts.				
ou agree the revised regulations ef the revisions in 2008 and 2009? Strongly agree Agree Neither agree or Disagree Disagree	ffectively consolidate the 2004 regula □ □ □ □ □	_		
	Agree Neither agree or Disagree Disagree Strongly Disagree If you have any comments pleas Agree with the following caveat. It is asks for comments on how the revise the proposed planning reform prograregulations may be subject to change tional Planning Policy framework chepublished. Under agree with the list of bodies included agree Neither agree or Disagree Disagree 2(b) If you have any comments Following publication, the Local Following publication, the Local Following publication, the Local Following publication, the Local Following publication and the replace Primary Care Trusts. Following publication and 2009? Strongly agree Agree Neither agree or Disagree	Agree		

3(b) If you have any comments please enter these below

The council supports the approach to consolidating the changes made since 2004 and streamlining requirements to reduce repetition. This will simplify the document and make it easier to understand.

Welcome also the removal of reference to complex Local Development Framework terminology in the regulations. However a few points of clarification are required:

- 1) With regard to the Local Development Scheme. By removal of the current Regulations 10-11 it is not clear whether there is still a requirement to bring the Local Development Scheme and revisions into effect as set out in the Act.
- 2) Query the removal of objectives relating to design and access under Regulations Part 3 (7). Given that the Act requires that in complying with the duty for sustainable development, regard should be have to the desirability of achieving good design. Could be presumably still be covered by proposed 7(e) but would welcome that clarification/confirmation.
- 3) Further clarification as to the role and status and preparation process of the Statement of Community Involvement is required.

Previous changes to the P&CP Act (Subsection (3)(a)) removed the requirement for the statement of community involvement to be specified in the local development scheme and subsection (4)(c) removes the requirement for an independent examination of the statement of community involvement. Further given the removal of details on public participation' on the SCI (current Regulation 26) it is not clear what the requirements for preparing and revising a SCI are. Further it appears to no longer be a required document (see 30(c) of current regulations) to be submitted to the Secretary of State under proposed regulations 23 unless it is considered that this is covered under 23 (e) 'such supporting documents'.

4(a) Are there any ways in which the regulations should be changed in order to im-
prove the process of preparing local plans, within the powers set out in the Planning
and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 and the Localism Bill?

Yes	Б	7
No		-

4(b) If 'Yes', please specify below.

Is there any possibility of clarifying in the Regulations more

clearly the status of documents i.e. what are local development documents and what are development plan documents and how this relates to use of the term Local Plans? It is considered that 37(3) of the Act: 'A development plan document is a local development document which is specified as a development plan document in the Local Development Scheme' is complex LDF terminology which requires a clearer explanation in the Regulations.